United States Environmental Protection Agency

Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response



**DIRECTIVE NUMBER:** 9221.0-1

TITLE: Nata Handling Support for CERCLIS

APPROVAL DATE: March 4, 1985

EFFECTIVE DATE: March 4, 1986

ORIGINATING OFFICE: Superfund

☐ FINAL

☐ DRAFT

STATUS: Interim guidance

REFERENCE (other documents):

# OSWER OSWER OSWER /E DIRECTIVE DIRECTIVE DI

			and Barrana A	<del></del>	
0	Ur	nited States Environmen Washington,	DC 20460		Interim Directive Number
SEPA	OSWE	R Directive I	nitiation Requ	uest	9221. <b>10-</b> /
			or Information		
Name of Contact Person	1	Mail Code		Telephone Numbe	ır.
Shirley Keehn	- <u></u>	WH-548		475-9335	
Lead Office	Oust	Signature of Office Dia		for Review	Cate
OERR -	OWPE	Signature of Citica Di	60101		
osw	AA-OSWER				
Title					
Nata Hamalla	Current for	CEDCLIC:			
Data namum	ng Support for	CERCEIS			
ļ					
Summary of Directive		<del></del>	<del></del>	<del></del>	
		_			
Implements	policies and p	procedures rega	rding management puter Sciences C	of the data	nandling
t ''	•	•	•	orporation	,636).
(Signed	d H. Longu	It, march	4, 1986)		
/	0	•	,		
<b>\</b>					
					ļ
}					
ļ					
}					
ĺ					
}					·
\ \(\lambda\)	Jan y wings	and			
11) 1/2	and man	UV			
\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	Jan 170 Mali	_			
Type of Directive (Manu	al. Policy Directive. Ann	ouncament, etc.)		Status	, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
Policy and Pr	ocedures			Oraft Oraft	<u></u> New
1				X Final	Revision
Does this Directive Sup	ersede Previous Directiv	e(s)? Yes X	No Does It Suppleme	ent Previous Directi	ve(s)? Yes X No
If "Yes" to Either Quest			•		
		,			
<u> </u>				<del></del>	
Review Plan	<b>—</b>	<b>—</b>	п		
AA-OSWER	☐ oust	U OECM	Other (Specify)		
U OERR	OWPE	☐ oec			
□ osw	Regions	OPPE			
This Request Meets OS		Format			
Signature of Lead Office	Directives Officer				Date
Manas	MITTER MILLI				211 Folo 86
Signature of OSWER Di	rectives Officer				Date Blanch G. St
Signature of OSWER DI	Diddin -				March L. Do
1 maril	J. Comer J.				PEULLI PIOP



## UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR - 4 1986

OFFICE OF
SOLID WASTE AND EMERGENCY RESPONSE

OSWER Directive 9221.0-1

#### **MEMORANDUM**

SUBJECT: Nata Handling Support for CERCLIS

FROM: Henry L. Longest II, Director

Office of Emergency and Remedial Messonse (WH-548)

TO: Addressees

This memorandum implements policies and procedures regarding management of the data handling support for CERCLIS provided by the Computer Sciences Corporation (CSC). A recent analysis by OERR's Information Management Task Group concluded that the remaining budget for FY 1986 cannot support the current level of effort. Therefore, I must issue an interim reallocation for the remainder of FY 1986 and take other steps to improve the management of these important resources.

The data handling support is funded by OERR to provide Regional Superfund programs with resources to collect, enter, and maintain CERCLIS data. To date, the delivery order has been managed in Headquarters without considerable input from Regional Superfund staff. This is inconsistent with my priority to work closely with you to improve information management through the Superfund Management Systems project. Regional responsibilities for information management will expand in the near future when most quantitative reporting for management accountability (e.g. SPMS, SCAP) will be conducted through the national data base. These resources for data handling will then be more valuable to you than ever before. Consequently, it is important that you immediately become involved in managing these resources.

From a management perspective, the most urgent need is to bring the delivery order back in line with its budget. For the remainder of FY 1986, each Region will receive a budget that may not be exceeded. Within this budget (plus any amount you wish to augment it with), each Region may revise the existing labor hour mixture as necessary to suit your data handling needs. Please designate an individual in the Superfund program to have overall responsibility for data handling management. Once designated, they should call Shirley Keehn of the IMTG (475-9336) to discuss their

role in the management of this function. Because such Regional revisions will affect national totals of labor hours expended and our ability to stay within labor hour category ceilings, it is essential that both Shirley and the individuals designated by the Regions coordinate all planned revisions with the Belivery Order Project Officer (Irvin Weiss) and with the Regional ADP Managers, who serve as Deputy Delivery Order Project Officers. The delivery order will operate on the revised budget ("allocation" column) listed in Attachment I beginning February 15, 1986. For the period February 15 through April 3D, the existing labor hour mixture ("Current Usage Needs" column) will remain in place with some reductions necessary across all categories. All Regions must review their existing labor hour mixture and forward their decision on any changes to Shirley by March 14. For your planning purposes, Attachment I(a) provides similar information on the allocation for the period May 1 through September 30, 1986.

As stated above, I anticipate that major changes will occur in the roles of both the Regions and Headquarters in information management as a result of the Superfund Management Systems project. A complete review of the data handling support delivery order and the extent to which it supports the Superfund program is in order and will be conducted in the fourth quarter. Until that analysis is complete, I believe that a policy statement should be in place to lay out our existing responsibilities for data handling management. A draft is provided in Attachment II for your review and comment. Please have your staff provide any comments to Shirley Keehn by March 14 so that it may be issued effective March 31. Rick Martin, the CERCLIS system manager, will be happy to answer any questions regarding the draft policy statement for you or your staff.

#### Attachments

#### Addressees:

Director, Waste Management Division, Regions I, V, and VIII
Director, Emergency and Remedial Response Division, Region II
Director, Hazardous Waste Management Division, Region III
Director, Air and Waste Management Division, Regions IV, VI, and VII
Director, Toxics and Waste Management Division, Region IX
Director, Air and Waste Division, Region X

cc: Timothy Fields, ERO
Stephen Lingle, HRSD
Russel Wyer, HSCD
Mike McNeill, IMS
Barbara Jarvis, DIRM
Tony Jover, OIRM
Irv Weiss, OIRM
Superfund Branch Chiefs, Regions I - X

Current Usage Needs 2/15/86 - 4/30/86

		2/15/86 - 4/30/86				
Region	Labor Category*	Hourly Rate	Labor Hours	Total Costs	Allocation	Difference
I	IMS SCC	\$18.88 12.12	186 416	\$3,512 5,042 \$8,554	\$7,681	\$873
II	SCS SCC SCC	\$25.65 12.12 12.12	186 208 208	4,771 2,521 2,521 \$9,813	\$8,829	\$984
III	IMS SCC	\$18.88 12.12	208 416	3,927 5,042 \$8,969	\$8,059	\$910
IV	IMS SCC SCC	\$18.88 12.12 12.12	208 416 306	3,927 5,042 3,709 \$12,678	\$11,485	\$1,193
V	IMS SCC DA	\$18.88 12.12 10.35	208 416 416	3,832 5,042 4,306 \$13,180	\$11,950	\$1,230
VI	TPL SCC DA	\$22.84 12.12 10.35	208 416 208	3,494 5,042 2,153 \$10,689	\$9,643	\$1,046
VII	TPL DA DA DA	\$22.84 10.35 10.35 10.35	208 416 416 208	3,494 4,306 4,306 2,153 \$14,259	<b>\$</b> 12,943	\$1,316
VIII	IMS OAS	\$18.88 14.77	164 416	3,096 6,144 \$9,240	\$8,305	\$935

## Current IIsage Needs 2/15/86 - 4/30/86

		2/13/60 - 4/30/00				
Region	Labor Category	Hourly Rate	Labor Hours	Total Costs	Allocation	Difference
ΙX	IMS	\$18.88	164	\$ 3,096		
	IMS	18.88	416 •			
	IMS	18.88	328	6,192		
	NAS	14.77 14.77	416 416	6,144 6,144		
	OAS	10.35	416	4,306		
	DA		88	911		
	DA	10.35	00	\$34,647	\$31,781	\$2,866
X	IMS	\$18.88	153	\$2,889		
••	DA	10.35	416	4,306		
	DA	10.35	208	$\frac{2,153}{$9,348}$	\$8,401	\$947
				•	•	
CSC	TPL	\$25.69	143	\$3,674		
НQ	SCC	13.63	143	1,949		
	SWPO	13.63	153	2,085		
	OAS	16.61	110	1,827 \$9,535	\$9,535	
				•	<b>,</b>	
EPA	SCC	\$12.12	416	\$5,042_		
НQ				\$5,042	\$5,042	
	(Misc - Program Su	pport)			<u>853</u>	
	Sub total			\$145,954	\$134,507	
	Program Support @7	.5%		10,946	10,088	
	0.D.C.			5,000	5,005	
				\$161,900	\$149,600	\$12,300

Current Usage Needs 2/15/86 - 4/30/86

		2/13/60 - 4/30/60					
Region	Labor Category*	Hourly Rate	Labor <u>Hours</u>	Total Costs	Allocation	Difference	
I	IMS SCC	\$18.88 12.12	186 416	\$3,512 5,042 \$8,554	<b>\$7,681</b>	\$873	

## \*Labor Category

IMS = Information Management Specialist
SCC = Senior Control Clerk

### Current Usage Needs 2/15/86 - 4/30/86

Region	Labor Category*	Hourly Rate	Labor Hours	Total Costs	Allocation	Difference
II	SCS	\$25.65	186	4,771		
	SCC	12.12	208	2,521		
	SCC	12.12	208	2,521		
				\$9,813	\$8,829	\$984

## \*Labor Category

SCS = Senior Computer Specialist
SCC = Senior Control Clerk

Current Usage Needs 2/15/86 - 4/30/86

Region	Labor Category*	Hourly Rate	Labor Hours	Total Costs	Allocation	Difference
III	I MS SCC	\$18.88 12.12	208 416	3,927 5,042 \$8,969	\$8,059	\$910

## \*Labor Category

IMS = Information Management Specialist
SCC = Senior Control Clerk

Current Usage Needs 2/15/86 - 4/30/86

Region	Labor Category*	Hourly Rate	Labor Hours	Total Costs	Allocation	Difference
IV	IMS	\$18.88	208	3,927		
	SCC	12.12	416	5,042		
	SCC	12.12	306	3,709		
				\$12,678	\$11,485	\$1,193

## \*Labor Category

IMS = Information Management Specialist
SCC = Senior Control Clerk

Current Usage Needs 2/15/86 - 4/30/86

Region	Labor Category*	Hourly Rate	Labor <u>Hours</u>	Total Costs	Allocation	<u> Difference</u>	
٧	IMS	\$18.88	208	3,832			
	SCC	12.12	416	5,042			
	DA	10.35	416	4,306 \$13,180	\$11,950	\$1,230	

## \*Labor Category

IMS = Information Management Specialist
SCC = Senior Control Clerk
DA = Data Analyst

## Current Usage Needs 2/15/86 - 4/30/86

Region	Labor Category*	Hourly Rate	Labor Hours	Total Costs	Allocation	Difference
VI	TPL SCC	\$22.84 12.12	208 416	3,494 5,042		
	DA	10.35	208	$\frac{2,153}{$10,689}$	\$9,643	\$1,046

## \*Labor Category

TPL = Technical Project Leader

SCC = Senior Control Clerk

DA = Data Analyst

## Current Usage Needs 2/15/86 - 4/30/86

Region	Labor Category*	Hourly Rate	Labor Hours	Total Costs	Allocation	Difference
VII	TPL	\$22.84	208	3,494		
	DA	10.35	416	4,306		
	DA	10.35	416	4,306		
	DA	10.35	208	2,153		
				\$14,259	\$12,943	\$1,316

## \*Labor Category

TPL = Technical Project Leader
DA = Data Analyst

Current Usage Needs 2/15/86 - 4/30/86

			700 - 4700	700		
Region	Labor Category*	Hourly Rate	Labor Hours	Total Costs	Allocation	Difference
VIII	IMS OAS	\$18.88 14.77	164 416	3,096 6,144	40.005	<b>†</b> 005
				\$9,240	\$8,305	\$935

## \*Labor Category

IMS = Information Management Specialist
OAS = Operations/Administrative Supervisor

## Current Usage Needs 2/15/86 - 4/30/86

Region	Labor Category	Hourly Rate	Labor <u>Hours</u>	Total <u>Costs</u>	Allocation	Difference
IX	IMS	\$18.88	164	\$ 3,096		
	IMS	18.88	416	7,854		
	IMS	18.88	328	6,192		
	OAS	14.77	416	6,144		
	OAS	14.77	416	6,144		
	DA	10.35	416	4,306		
	DA	10.35	88	911		
				\$34,647	\$31,781	\$2,866

## \*Labor Category

IMS = Information Management Specialist
OAS = Operations/Administrative Supervisor
DA = Data Analyst

## Current Usage Needs 2/15/86 - 4/30/86

Region	Labor Category	Hourly Rate	Labor Hours	Total Costs	Allocation	Difference
χ	IMS	\$18.88	153	\$2,889		
	DA	10.35	416	4,306		
	DA	10.35	208	2,153		
				\$9.348	\$8,401	\$947

## \*Labor Category

IMS = Information Management Specialist
DA = Data Analyst

#### SUMMARY

Labor	Current Usage Needs			Current Usage Needs	<del></del>		Current Usage Needs	<del></del>	<del></del>
Cat.	5/1/86-6/30/86	<u>Allocation</u>	Difference	7/1/86-8/31/86	Allocation	Difference	9/1/86-9/30/86	Allocation	Difference
RG 1 IMS SCC	\$ 3,172 4,072 \$ 7,244	\$ 6,063	\$ 1,181	\$ 3,247 4,169 \$ 7,416	\$ 6,034	\$ 1,382	\$ 1,586 2,036 \$ 3,622	\$ 3,032	\$ 590
RG 2 SCS SCC SCC	\$ 4,309 2,036 2,036 \$ 8,381	\$ 7,019	\$ 1,362	\$ 4,412 2,085 2,085 \$ 8,582	\$ 6,990	\$ 1,592	\$ 2,155 1,018 1,018 3 4,191	<b>\$</b> 3,510	\$ 681
RG 3 IMS SCC	\$ 3,172 4,072 \$ 7,244	\$ 6,594	\$ 650	\$ 3,247 4,169 \$ 7,416	\$ 6,565	\$ 851	\$ 1,586 2,036 \$ 3,622	\$ 3,297	\$ 325
RG 4 IMS SCC SCC	\$ 3,172 4,072 3,054 \$ 10,298	\$ 9,143	\$ 1,155	\$ 3,247 4,169 3,127 \$ 10,543	\$ 9,115	\$ 1,428	\$ 1,586 2,036 1,527 \$ 5,149	\$ 4,572	\$ 577
RG 5 IMS SCC DA	\$ 3,172 4,072 3,478 \$ 10,722	\$ 9,462	\$ 1,260	\$ 3,247 4,169 3,560 \$ 10,976	\$ 9,433	\$ 1,543	\$ 1,586 2,036 1,739 \$ 5,361	\$ 4,731	\$ 630

## SIJMMARY

	Current Usage			Current Usage	<del></del>		Current Usage		
Labor				Needs			Needs		5:55
Cat.	5/1/86-6/30/86	<u>Allocation</u>	Difference	7/1/86-8/31/86	<u>Allocation</u>	Difference	9/1/86-9/30/86	<u>Allocation</u>	Difference
RG 6 TPL SCC DA	\$ 3,837 4,072 1,739 \$ 9,648	\$ 7,657	\$ 1,991	\$ 3,928 4,169 1,780 \$ 9,877	\$ 7,627	\$ 2,250	\$ 1,919 2,036 869 \$ 4,824	\$ 3,828	\$ 996
RG 7 TPL DA DA DA	\$ 3,837 3,478 3,478 1,739 \$ 12,532	\$ 10,312	\$ 2,220	\$ 3,928 3,560 3,560 1,780 \$ 12,828	\$ 10,284	\$ 2,544	\$ 1,919 1,739 1,739 869 \$ 6,266	\$ 5,155	\$ 1,111
RG 8 IMS OAS	\$ 3,172 4,963 \$ 8,135	\$ 6,594	\$ 1,541	\$ 3,247 5,081 \$ 8,328	\$ 6,565	\$ 1,763	\$ 1,586   2,481   \$ 4,067	\$ 3,297	\$ 770
RG 9 IMS IMS IMS OAS OAS DA DA	\$ 3,172 6,344 5,079 4,963 4,963 3,478 693 \$ 28,692	\$ 25,290	\$ 3,402	\$ 3,247 6,495 5,192 5,081 5,081 3,560 714 \$ 29,370	\$ 25,762	<b>\$ 4,</b> 108	\$ 1,586 3,172 2,530 2,481 2,481 1,739 352 \$14,341	\$12,645	\$ 1,696
RG 10 TMS DA DA	\$ 3,172 3,478 1,739 \$ 8,389	\$ 6,701	\$ 1,688	\$ 3,247 3,560 1,780 \$ 8,587	\$ 6,672	\$ 1,915	\$ 1,586 1,739 869 \$ 4,194	<b>\$</b> 3,350	\$ 844

## SUMMARY

Labor Cat.	Current Usage Needs 5/1/86-6/30/86	Allocation	Difference	Current Usage Needs 7/1/86-8/31/86	Allocation	Difference	Current Usage Needs 9/1/86-9/30/86	Allocation	Difference
CSC HO TPL SCC SWPO OAS	\$ 2,877 1,527 1,527 1,395 \$ 7,326	\$ 7,326		\$ 2,954 1,567 1,567 1,429 \$ 7,517	\$ 7,517		\$ 1,439 763 763 698 \$ 3,663	\$ 3,663	
EPA HQ SCC	\$ 4,072 \$ 4,072	\$ 4,072		\$ 4,169 \$ 4,169	\$ 4,169		\$ 2,036 \$ 2,036	\$ 2,036	
TECH. LABOR	\$122,683	\$106,233	\$16,450	\$125,609	\$106,233	\$19,376	\$61,336	\$53,116	\$8,220
PROGRAM Support		7,967	1,234	9,421	7,967	1,454	4,600	3,984	616
0.D.C.	8,000	8,000		8,000	8,000		4,000	4,000	****
TOTAL SUPPORT	\$139,884	\$122,200	\$17,684	\$143,030	\$122,200	\$20,830	\$69,936	\$61,100	\$8,836

## REGION I

Labor	Current Usage Needs 5/1/86-6/30/86	Allocation	Difference	Current Usage Needs 7/1/86-8/31/86	Allocation	Difference	Current Usage Needs 9/1/86-9/30/86	Allocation	Difference
I MS SCC	\$ 3,172 4,072 \$ 7,244	\$ 6,063	\$ 1,181	\$ 3,247 4,169 \$ 7,416	\$ 6,034	\$ 1,382	\$ 1,586 2,036 \$ 3,622	\$ 3,032	\$ 590

## REGION II

Labor	Current Usage Needs 5/1/86-6/30/86	Allocation		Current Usage Needs 7/1/86-8/31/86	Allocation		Current Usage Needs 9/1/86-9/30/86	Allocation	Difference
SCS SCC SCC	\$ 4,309 2,036 2,036 \$ 8,381	\$ 7,019	\$ 1,362	\$ 4,412 2,085 2,085 \$ 8,582	\$ 6,990	<b>\$</b> 1,592	\$ 2,155 1,018 1,018 3 4,191	\$ 3,510 -	\$ 681

## REGION III

Labor	Current Usage Needs 5/1/86-6/30/86	Allocation	Difference	Current Usage Needs 7/1/86-8/31/86	Allocation		Current Usage Needs 9/1/86-9/30/86	Allocation	Difference
I MS SCC	\$ 3,172 4,072 \$ 7,244	\$ 6,594	\$ 650	\$ 3,247 4,169 \$ 7,416	\$ 6,565	\$ 851	\$ 1,586 2,036 \$ 3,622	\$ 3,297	\$ 325

## REGION IV

Labor	Current Usage Needs 5/1/86-6/30/86	Allocation	Difference	Current Usage Needs 7/1/86-8/31/86	Allocation		Current Usage Needs 9/1/86-9/30/86	Allocation	Difference
I MS SCC SCC	\$ 3,172 4,072 3,054 \$ 10,298	\$ 9,143	\$ 1,155	\$ 3,247 4,169 3,127 \$ 10,543	\$ 9,115	\$ 1,428	\$ 1,586 2,036 1,527 \$ 5,149	<b>\$ 4,572</b> .	\$ 5 <sup>7</sup> 7

## REGION V

Labor	Current Usage Needs 5/1/86-6/30/86	Allocation	Difference	Current Usage Needs 7/1/86-8/31/86	Allocation		Current Usage Needs 9/1/86-9/30/86	Allocation	Difference
I MS SCC DA	\$ 3,172 4,072 3,478 \$ 10,722	\$ 9,462	\$ 1,260	\$ 3,247 4,169 3,560 \$ 10,976	\$ 9,433	\$ 1,543	\$ 1,586 2,036 1,739 \$ 5,361	<b>\$ 4,731</b>	\$ 630

#### REGION VI

Labor	Current Usage Needs 5/1/86-6/30/86	Allocation	Difference	Current Usage Needs 7/1/86-8/31/86	Allocation		Current Usage Needs 9/1/86-9/30/86	Allocation	Difference
TPL SCC DA	\$ 3,837 4,072 1,739 \$ 9,648	\$ 7,657	\$ 1,991	\$ 3,928 4,169 1,780 \$ 9,877	\$ 7,627	\$ 2,250	\$ 1,919 2,036 869 \$ 4,824	\$ 3,828·	\$ 996

## REGION VII

Labor	Current Usage Needs 5/1/86-6/30/86	Allocation	Difference	Current Usage Needs 7/1/86-8/31/86	Allocation		Current Usage Needs 9/1/86-9/30/86	Allocation	Difference
TPL DA DA DA	\$ 3,837 3,478 3,478 1,739 \$ 12,532	\$ 10,312	\$ 2,220	\$ 3,928 3,560 3,560 1,780 \$ 12,828	\$ 10,284	\$ 2,544	\$ 1,919 1,739 1,739 <u>869</u> \$ 6,266	\$ 5,155 <sub>.</sub>	\$ 1,111

## REGION VIII

Labor	Current Usage Needs 5/1/86-6/30/86	Allocation	Difference	Current Usage Needs 7/1/86-8/31/86	Allocation		Current Usage Needs 9/1/86-9/30/86	Allocation	Difference
I MS OAS	\$ 3,172 4,963 \$ 8,135	\$ 6,594	\$ 1,541	\$ 3,247 5,081 \$ 8,328	\$ 6,565	\$ 1,763	\$ 1,586 2,481 \$ 4,067	\$ 3,297	\$ 770

## REGION IX

Labor Cat.	Current Usage Needs 5/1/86-6/30/86	Allocation	Difference	Current Usage Needs 7/1/86-8/31/86	Allocation	Difference	Current Usage Needs 9/1/86-9/30/86	Allocation	Difference
IMS IMS IMS OAS OAS DA DA	\$ 3,172 6,344 5,079 4,963 4,963 3,478 693 \$ 28,692	\$ 25,290	\$ 3,402	\$ 3,247 6,495 5,192 5,081 5,081 3,560 714 \$ 29,370	\$ 25,262	\$ 4,108	\$ 1,586 3,172 2,530 2,481 2,481 1,739 352 \$14,341	\$12,645	\$1,696

## REGION X

Labor	Current Usage Needs 5/1/86-6/30/86	Allocation	Difference	Current Usage Needs 7/1/86-8/31/86	Allocation		Current Usage Needs 9/1/86-9/30/86	Allocation	Difference
IMS DA DA	\$ 3,172 3,478 1,739 \$ 8,389	\$ 6,701	\$ 1,688	\$ 3,247 3,560 1,780 \$ 8,587	\$ 6,672	\$ 1,915	\$ 1,586 1,739 869 \$ 4,194	\$ 3,350	\$ 844

## DRAFT

#### ATTACHMENT II

#### DATA HANDLING SUPPORT POLICY STATEMENT

- The primary purpose of the CSC data handling delivery order is to provide support for the collection, entry, and maintenance of CERCLIS data.
- 2. The majority of these resources will be allocated to provide direct, on-site support to the Regional Superfund programs.
- 3. The Regional Superfund programs have the responsibility to ensure that the resources provided are fully utilized to support program priorities. Specific responsibilities include:
  - -- providing constant oversight and direction in the conduct of daily tasks;
  - -- ensuring that appropriate staff mix is in place to meet the data handling needs of the Superfund program;
  - -- managing the staff mix to stay within the budget figure allocated to each Region:
  - -- communicating personnel problems, changing resource needs, training requests and other administrative issues to the Office of Emergency and Remedial Response (OERR) in a timely manner;
  - -- keeping the deputy Delivery Order Project Officer abreast of such proposed changes: and.
  - -- designating an individual in the Superfund program to be accountable for the effective use and management of these resources.
- 4. OERR's Information Management Task Group (IMTG) is responsible for national coordination of the delivery order. Specific responsibilities include:
  - -- preparing all delivery order modifications to provide uninterrupted availability of resources and meet changing resource needs when necessary:
  - -- providing the budget for each Region and track resource usage;
  - -- serving as the single point of contact for the Regions with the contractor and the Office of Information Resources Management (OIRM);
  - -- communicating frequently with the Regions on all issues impacting the delivery order or CERCLIS; and,
  - -- evaluating the effectiveness of the delivery order in supporting program priorities.
- 5. OIRM currently supports the Superfund program by serving as the Delivery Order Project Officer. Specific responsibilities include:
  - -- providing assistance to the IMTG in the preparation of all delivery order modifications;
  - -- serving as the single point of contact with the Contracting Officer; and.
  - -- communicating frequently with the IMTG on all issues impacting the delivery order.